

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/391,966	Applicant(s) DITZIK, RICHARD J.	
	Examiner Lester G. Kincaid	Art Unit 2685	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lester G. Kincaid.

(3) _____.

(2) richard Ditzik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 July 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 54 and 62.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With the exception of the phrase "under optional user control" the amendment to claim 54 overcomes the 112/1 rejection. The examiner suggests rewriting step c to read --relaying said data to and from either one of the portable local communication base unit and an external wide area network,-- The "user control" or "selection" of the link could be included if the specification supports it, please point this out, if desired. The "local computer data networking" communication by/for the handset needs support in the specification .